

# Hyperelliptic, Trigonal and Tetragonal Reductions of Benney's Equations

Sadie Baldwin, Matthew England\*  
and John Gibbons

Imperial College London

\* Glasgow University

## Abstract

Reductions of Benney's equations are described by conformal mappings from the upper half  $p$ -plane to the slit upper half  $\lambda$ -plane. The slits are on prescribed non-intersecting curves, and their moving end points are the Riemann invariants. If the slits are straight lines, the mapping may be given in Schwartz-Christoffel form. In the case where the slits are all vertical, the mapping is given explicitly in terms of Kleinian  $\sigma$  functions of a hyperelliptic curve; a similar formula is found for a trigonal or tetragonal curve, when the slits make angles of  $\pi/3$  or  $\pi/4$  with the real  $\lambda$ -axis and one another.

## Dispersionless PDE

The prototype of this class of system is the kinematic wave equation

$$u_t + uu_x = 0, \quad (1)$$

which is a dispersionless bi-Hamiltonian integrable system. It can be considered as the singular limit of the KdV as the dispersion term tends to zero. Until shocks form, its solution with initial data  $u(x, 0) = f(x)$  is given implicitly by the hodograph form:

$$x - ut = f^{(-1)}(u). \quad (2)$$

Tsarev showed that this result can be generalised to a much wider class - if a system

$$\mathbf{u}_t + V\mathbf{u}_x = 0, \quad (3)$$

with an  $N$  component vector  $\mathbf{u}$  and an  $N \times N$  matrix  $V$ , can be transformed to diagonal form,

$$r_t^i + v^i(\mathbf{r})r_x^i = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the  $r^i$  are called the Riemann invariants, and the  $v^i$  the eigenvalues of  $V$  are the characteristic speeds, assumed real and distinct; and if it is Hamiltonian, its solutions may again be written in hodograph form

$$x - v^i t = w^i. \quad (5)$$

Here the  $w^i$  satisfy an over-determined (but consistent) set of linear equations.

I will be talking about the problem of a dispersionless Hamiltonian pde with infinitely many dependent variables, and the problem of how, for some classes of its solutions it may be reduced to an  $N \times N$  system of this type. In particular I will look at the problem of constructing such classes of solutions - called reductions of the system.

## Benney's Equations

Many of the most important examples of dispersionless integrable systems are included in the Benney hierarchy. These equations were first derived as a description of long waves on a shallow perfect fluid, but they can be written as Vlasov equations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_2} + p \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the moments  $A_n$  are defined by

$$A_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^n f \, dp. \quad (7)$$

These moments satisfy the Benney moment equations:

$$(A_n)_t + (A_{n+1})_x + n A_{n-1} (A_0)_x = 0. \quad (8)$$

When can we find solutions  $f(x, p, t_2)$ , of this system which depend on  $(x, t_2)$  through only  $N$  Riemann invariants; that is, functions  $\hat{\lambda}_i(x, t)$ , satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i}{\partial t} + \hat{p}_i \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (9)$$

for some characteristic speeds  $\hat{p}_i(\hat{\lambda})$ ? Such a solution  $f(p, \hat{\lambda}(x, t_2))$  must satisfy

$$(p - \hat{p}_i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i} - \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0 \quad (10)$$

and thus, on dividing by  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}$ , we get:

## Löwner equations

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i} = -\frac{\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial \hat{\lambda}_i}}{p - \hat{p}_i}. \quad (11)$$

Each of these Löwner equations, taken separately, can be used to describe the growth of a slit in the image of a conformal map from the  $p$ -plane to the  $\lambda$ -plane. The consistency conditions between these need to be satisfied; geometrically, the growth of one slit should not cause the other slits to move. Such solutions can be described by choosing  $N$  non-intersecting curves  $C_i$  in the upper half  $\lambda$ -plane, with end points  $\hat{\lambda}_i$ , and bases  $\hat{\lambda}_i^0$  on the real axis. There is a unique conformal mapping from the upper half  $p$ -plane to the slit upper half  $\lambda$ -plane, with asymptotics  $\lambda = p + O(1/p)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .

If the curves are chosen *ab initio*, the consistency conditions are automatically satisfied. The solutions of those conditions are parameterised by  $N$  functions - and these slit mappings are parametrised by  $N$  curves. The question now arises, can we construct explicit non-trivial examples of these mappings? The obvious class to consider first is where the slits are all straight lines, and the mapping is then of Schwartz-Christoffel type.

## Schwartz-Christoffel mappings

If the upper half  $p$ -plane is mapped into an  $N$ -gonal domain of the  $\lambda$ -plane, with  $\lambda \simeq p + O(1/p)$ , as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and the points  $p_i$  are mapped to the vertices  $\lambda_i$  with internal angles  $\pi\alpha_i$ , satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N \pi(1 - \alpha_i) = 0$ , the mapping is given by

$$\lambda = \int^p \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(p' - p_i)^{(1-\alpha_i)}} \right) dp'. \quad (12)$$

for then near  $p = p_i$ ,  $\lambda \simeq (p - p_i)^{\alpha_i}$ .

The integrand is algebraic if the  $\alpha_i$  are all rational. For instance, with  $\alpha_i = 1/2$ , if we take the real  $p$ -axis, and mark  $N$  intervals  $I_i = [p_i^-, p_i^+]$  on it, and a point  $\hat{p}_i \in I_i$ , then the mapping

$$\lambda = p + \int_{-\infty}^p \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - \hat{p}_i)}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - p_i^-)(p' - p_i^+)}} - 1 \right) dp' \quad (13)$$

takes the upper half  $p$ -plane to the upper half  $\lambda$ -plane with  $N$  vertical slits in it,

stretching from  $\lambda_i^0$ , the image of  $p_i^\pm$ , to  $\hat{\lambda}_i$ , the image of  $\hat{p}_i$ . The conditions

$$\int_{p_k^-}^{p_k^+} \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - \hat{p}_i)}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - p_i^-)(p' - p_i^+)}} - 1 \right) dp' = 0 \quad (14)$$

ensure that the images of  $p_k^\pm$  are the same point  $\lambda_k^0$  of the real  $\lambda$  axis. These image points  $\lambda_k^0$  should be independent of  $(x, t)$ , so the resulting mapping is found to depend on  $N$  free parameters, say the end points  $\lambda_k$ .

## A Hyperelliptic Curve

The integral

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= p + \int_{-\infty}^p \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - \hat{p}_i)}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^N (p' - p_i^-)(p' - p_i^+)}} - 1 \right) dp' \\ &= p + \int_{-\infty}^p \varphi(p') dp'\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

is an integral of a second kind differential on a hyperelliptic Riemann surface (elliptic for  $N=2$ ). It helps to move one branch point to infinity; we take:

$$\begin{aligned}p &= p_N^+ - 1/t, \\ p_i^\pm &= p_N^+ - 1/t_i^\pm,\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

and the integrand becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(p) dp &= k \left( \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{g+1} a_i t^i}{s} \right) \frac{dt}{t^2} \\ &= k \left( \sum_{i=2}^{g+1} a_i t^{i-2} \right) \frac{dt}{s} \\ &\quad + k \left( \frac{a_1}{t} + \frac{a_0}{t^2} \right) \frac{dt}{s},\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

for some coefficients  $a_i, k$ .

The terms in positive powers of  $t$  are holomorphic differentials, and those in negative powers, meromorphic, on the genus  $g = N - 1$  hyperelliptic Riemann surface

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \dots + \mu_{2g} t^{2g} + 4 t^{2g+1} \\ &= 4(t - t_N^-) \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (t - t_i^+)(t - t_i^-). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^- + p_i^+ - 2\hat{p}_i) = 0,$$

so that  $\lambda(p)$  will have the required asymptotics:

$$\lambda(p) \simeq p + O(1/p) \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty,$$

the residue at  $t = 0$  of the meromorphic part is identically zero. To construct the mapping, it is enough to find a function which has the correct principal part at this singularity; this function and the required Schwartz-Christoffel mapping then differ by at most a holomorphic term.

## Kleinian $\sigma$ -functions.

We define the Abel map in the usual way in terms of the holomorphic differentials, fixing the base point at  $t = \infty$ .

$$u_i = \int_{\infty}^t t'^{i-1} \frac{dt'}{s'}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g. \quad (19)$$

If we define a basis of cycles  $\{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i\}, i = 1, \dots, g$ , as in the diagram,

then we define the period matrices

$$\begin{aligned} 2\omega &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{a}_i} du_j \right) \\ 2\omega' &= \left( \int_{\mathbf{b}_i} du_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

whose  $2g$  columns define a lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}^g$ , then the Abel map is defined uniquely up to translations by  $\Lambda$ . We write  $\text{Jac} = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ .

We also need the associated basis of second kind meromorphic differentials:

$$\begin{aligned} dr_i &= \sum_{k=i}^{2g+1-i} (1+i-k) \mu_{1+i+k} \frac{t^k dt}{4s}, \\ &\quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, g) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with period matrices  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$ . These period matrices are used to form the matrix

$$\mathfrak{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & \omega' \\ \eta & \eta' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the  $\sigma$  function is, up to a factor which is irrelevant to our purposes:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathbf{u}; \mathfrak{M}) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^T \eta \omega^{-1} \mathbf{u}\right) \\ &\times \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \exp(i\pi(\mathbf{m}^T \tau \mathbf{m} + 2\mathbf{m}^T ((2\omega)^{-1} \mathbf{u} - \Delta))) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Riemann constant for the base point  $t = \infty$ , and  $\tau = \omega' \omega^{-1}$ . Then  $\sigma$  will vanish on the strata  $\Theta_k$  of the  $\Theta$ -divisor given by:

$$\Theta_k = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \text{Jac} : \mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\infty}^{t_j} d\mathbf{u} \right\}$$

and evidently

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \subset \Theta_1 \subset \Theta_2 \subset \dots \subset \text{Jac}.$$

Here, as we are interested in a 1-dimensional integral, it makes sense to write it as a path integral on  $\Theta_1$ , the Abel image of the curve.

## Jacobi inversion formula on $\Theta_1$

Using a result of Jorgenson, we may show that on

$$\Theta_{g-1}, \quad \sigma = 0,$$

and on

$$\Theta_{g-i}, \quad \sigma = \sigma_g = \sigma_{g-1} = \dots = \sigma_{g-i+2} = 0.$$

In particular for a hyperelliptic curve, on  $\Theta_1$  only the two derivatives  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are non-vanishing, and it follows that

$$t = \frac{du_2}{du_1} = -\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}.$$

This result was used by Enolski, Pronine and Richter to find the motion of a double pendulum in the absence of gravity - that problem reduces to the inversion of a 2nd kind meromorphic differential on  $\Theta_1$  for a genus 2 hyperelliptic curve. Similar problems have been studied elsewhere, e.g. by Abenda and Fedorov.

Our integral, or rather, its meromorphic part, then becomes

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{u}) \, du_1 = \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}(\mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_1 \sigma_2}{\mu_0 \sigma_1}(\mathbf{u}) \right) du_1$$

This is singular only where  $t = 0$ , ( $p = \infty$ ) that is at the 2 points  $\pm \mathbf{u}_0$  where  $\sigma_1 = 0$ .

We expand in a Laurent series in powers of  $w_1 = u_1 - (\mathbf{u}_0)_1$ , getting

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{u}_0 - (\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u})) &= \\ \frac{(\sigma_2) + (\sigma_{12}) w_1 + \dots}{(\sigma_{11}) w_1 + \dots} &= \\ \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_{11}} \right) w_1^{-1} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}(\mathbf{u}_0 - (\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u})) &= \\ \frac{\sigma_2^2 + (2 \sigma_2 \sigma_{12}) w_1 + \dots}{\sigma_{11}^2 w_1^2 + (\sigma_{11} \sigma_{111}) w_1^3 + (2 \sigma_{11} \sigma_{12}) w_1 w_2 + \dots} &= \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_{11}^2}\right) w_1^{-2} + \left(2\frac{\sigma_2 \sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11}^2} - \frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_{111}}{\sigma_{11}^3} - \sqrt{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma_2^2 \sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11}^3}\right) w_1^{-1} + O(1).$$

Here all the derivatives of  $\sigma$  are evaluated at  $\mathbf{u}_0$ . At this point one may show (consider the Taylor series of  $\sigma$  near  $\mathbf{u}_0$ ), that

$$\sigma_{11}(\mathbf{u}_0) = -\sqrt{\mu_0} \sigma_2(\mathbf{u}_0)$$

and

$$\sigma_{111}(\mathbf{u}_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \mu_1 \sigma_2(\mathbf{u}_0) - 3 \sqrt{\mu_0} \sigma_{12}(\mathbf{u}_0).$$

It follows, as it must, that  $\varphi_2 du_1$  has zero residue here.

We now consider the function

$$\Psi(\mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{u})$$

for  $\mathbf{u} \in \Theta_1$ . This has a simple pole at  $w_1 = 0$ , so its derivative can be compared with our integrand. The (total) derivative of  $\Psi$  with respect to  $u_1$  along  $\Theta_1$  is

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{d}{du_1} \left[ -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_1} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^g (-1)^{i-1} \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \right)^{i-1} \left( \frac{\sigma_{11i}}{\sigma_1} - \frac{\sigma_{11} \sigma_{1i}}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right].$$

Since only the first three terms in the sum contain negative powers of  $\sigma_1$  we can rewrite  $\psi(\mathbf{u})$  as

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ \left( -\sigma_{11}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \left( \sigma_{111} + \frac{\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_2} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma_1} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) \right] \quad (\forall g \geq 3).$$

Expanding near  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0$ , we find

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}) \simeq \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0} \right) w_1^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

This has the same leading terms as  $\varphi_2$ . Neither  $\psi du_1$  nor  $\varphi_2$  has any other singularities, so they differ by at most holomorphic differentials.

We thus obtain, finally, an explicit expression for the Schwartz-Christoffel integral:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(p) &= p + \int_{\infty}^p [\varphi(p') - 1] dp' \\ &= p_{2g+2} +\end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ k(\mathbf{A} + (-1)^{g-1} \mathbf{B})^{\top} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right\} + \left\{ (-1)^g \frac{k}{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{u}) \right\} + \tilde{C}.$$

Here the coefficients  $\mathbf{A}$  are given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{g+1} \mathbf{A}_i t^i = \prod_{i=1}^{g+1} [(p_{2g+2} - \hat{p}_i) t - 1],$$

$\mathbf{B}$  is known to vanish for the cases  $g = 2$  and  $g = 3$ , and  $\tilde{C}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C} &= (-1)^g \sqrt{\mu_0} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 - \sqrt{\mu_0} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 + \\ &\quad \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu_0}} \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_2}(\mathbf{u}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0}.\end{aligned}$$

## A Trigonal Reduction

The mapping between the upper half  $p$ -plane and the slit upper half  $\lambda$ -plane shown above is given as a Schwartz-Christoffel mapping

$$\lambda(p) = p + \int_{\infty}^p (\varphi(p') - 1) dp', \quad (21)$$

where

$$\varphi(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 (p - \hat{p}_i)}{\left[ \prod_{i=1}^6 (p - P_i) \right]^{2/3}}. \quad (22)$$

The same approach can be used here, although there is much less known in detail about cyclic trigonal curves than hyperelliptic curves.

We found that the Schwartz-Christoffel mapping can be given explicitly. As before, rather than the coordinates  $(p, y)$  on the cyclic  $(3, 6)$  curve

$$\Gamma = \left\{ (p, y) : y^3 = \prod_{i=1}^6 (p - P_i) \right\},$$

we define new coordinates  $(t, s)$  by:

$$p = P_6 - \frac{1}{t}, \quad (23)$$

$$P_i = P_6 - \frac{1}{T_i} \quad i = 1 \dots 5, \quad (24)$$

$$s = yt^2K, \quad (25)$$

$$K^3 = \prod_{i=1}^5 (P_6 - P_i). \quad (26)$$

Define  $\lambda_i$  by the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_i t^i = -\frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 [(P_6 - P_i)t - 1]}{\prod_{i=1}^5 (P_6 - P_i)}.$$

and set  $\mathbf{A}^T = (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$  where the  $A_i$  are defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 A_i t^i = \prod_{i=1}^4 [(P_6 - \hat{p}_i)t - 1].$$

The image of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_4$ , is then given by the cyclic  $(3, 5)$  curve

$$\mathbf{T}_4 = \left\{ (t, s) : s^3 = t^5 + \sum_{i=0}^4 \lambda_i t^i \right\},$$

We then define the restriction to  $\mathbf{T}_4$  of the

Abel map  $\mathbf{u}$ , with image  $\Theta_1 \subset \text{Jac}(\mathbf{T}_4)$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \int_{\infty}^t \frac{dt'}{3s'^2} & u_2 &= \int_{\infty}^t \frac{t'dt'}{3s'^2} \\ u_3 &= \int_{\infty}^t \frac{s'dt'}{3s'^2} & u_4 &= \int_{\infty}^t \frac{t'^2 dt'}{3s'^2} \end{aligned}$$

The inversion of these mappings is given by:

$$p = P_6 + \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{u}).$$

Then, with  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \Theta_1$  and  $\sigma_1(\mathbf{u}_0) = 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p) = & 3\lambda_0^{2/3} \left( A_2 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0} \right) (u_1 - u_{0,1}) + \\ & A_3(u_2 - u_{0,2}) + A_4(u_4 - u_{0,4}) - \\ & \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{1/3}} \frac{\sigma_{13}}{\sigma_1}(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{9}{\lambda_0^{1/3}} \frac{\sigma_{23}}{\sigma_2}(\mathbf{u}_0) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} \end{aligned}$$

on the sheet of the Riemann surface

$$\left\{ (p, y) : y^3 = \prod_{i=1}^6 (p - P_i) \right\}$$

associated with the relation

$$p \rightarrow +\infty \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} \rightarrow +\mathbf{u}_0.$$

# A Tetragonal Reduction

We may similarly look at a reduction in which the slits are at angles of  $\pi/4$  to each other. The simplest non-trivial example is given by

$$\lambda(p) = p + \int_{\infty}^p [\varphi(p') - 1] dp' \quad (27)$$

where

$$\varphi(p) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 (p - \hat{v}_i)}{[\prod_{i=1}^8 (p - \hat{p}_i)]^{\frac{3}{4}}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 (p - \hat{v}_i)}{y^3} \quad (28)$$

where

$$y^4 = \prod_{i=1}^8 (p - \hat{p}_i). \quad (29)$$

which, on mapping  $p_8$  to  $\infty$ , we find is associated with the curve

$$s^4 = t^5 + \mu_4 t^4 + \mu_3 t^3 + \mu_2 t^2 + \mu_1 t + \mu_0,$$

This is a cyclic (4, 5) tetragonal curve, of genus six. Using a basis of holomorphic differentials

$$du_i(t, s) = \frac{g_i(t, s)}{4s^3} dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t, s) &= 1, & g_2(t, s) &= t, & g_3(t, s) &= s, \\ g_4(t, s) &= t^2, & g_5(t, s) &= ts, & g_6(t, s) &= s^2, \end{aligned}$$

we proceed as before. Jorgenson's formula for Jacobi inversion on  $\Theta_1$  needs to be interpreted carefully - we find that *all* first derivatives of  $\sigma$  vanish on this stratum.

Taking the limit as we approach this stratum, we get the inversion formula

$$t = -\frac{\sigma_{23}}{\sigma_{34}}. \quad (30)$$

The meromorphic part of our integrand then becomes

$$\varphi_2(t)dt = \left( \left( \frac{\sigma_{34}}{\sigma_{23}} \right)^2 - A_1 \frac{\sigma_{34}}{\sigma_{23}} \right) du_1,$$

where  $A_1$  is chosen so the residue vanishes.

Expanding everything about points  $u_1^{(0)}$  where  $\sigma_{23} = 0$ , and using the known relations between derivatives holding on  $\Theta_1$ , we find that this is (up to holomorphic terms), the derivative of

$$\psi = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\sigma_{236}}{\sigma_{23}}.$$

Hence, after finding the holomorphic and constant terms as well, we obtain our conformal mapping explicitly as:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p) = & \hat{p}_8 + \frac{3\mu_1}{8\mu_0} \\ & + K \left[ -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[ \frac{\sigma_{236}}{\sigma_{23}} - \frac{\sigma_{226}(u_0)}{\sigma_{22}(u_0)} \right] \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{32} \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0^{7/4}} + \frac{\mu_2 + 2A_2\mu_0}{2\mu_0} (u_1 - u_{1,0}) \\ & \left. + \frac{4A_3\mu_0 + \mu_3}{4\mu_0} (u_2 - u_{2,0}) + A_4(u_4 - u_{4,0}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $A_i$  and  $K$  are known. As with the trigonal and hyperelliptic cases, the key term is the quotient of  $\sigma$ -derivatives.

## Further questions

- All examples studied so far in this way yield very similar expressions for the Schwartz-Christoffel mapping. Is there a general result of this form, applicable to much wider families of curves? This problem depends on understanding the order to which  $\sigma$  vanishes on the stratum  $\Theta_1$ ; if all the first derivatives vanish, Jorgenson's formula must be used carefully.
- Can these formulae be used to get a more detailed and explicit picture of the *differential-geometric* structure of these reductions? Andrea Raimondo has looked at the multiple Hamiltonian structures associated with general reductions - calculating these objects explicitly for Schwartz-Christoffel reductions may well yield useful insights.

## References

- J Gibbons and S P Tsarev 1999 Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations, Phys. Lett. **A 258**, 263
- L Yu and J Gibbons 2000 The initial value problem for reductions of Lax equations Inverse Problems **16** 605
- M S Alber and Yu. N. Fedorov, Wave solutions of evolution equations and Hamiltonian flows on nonlinear subvarieties of generalised Jacobians, J. Phys. A, **33** (2000), 8409–8425.
- V Z Enolskii, M Pronine and P H Richter 2003 Double pendulum and  $\Theta$ -divisor J. Nonlinear Science **13** 157
- S Baldwin and J Gibbons 2003 Hyperelliptic reduction of the Benney moment equations J.Phys A **36** 8393
- J Jorgenson (1992) On the directional derivatives of the theta function along its divisor. Israel J. of Math. **77**, 273-284.
- S Baldwin and J Gibbons 2004 Higher genus hyperelliptic reductions of the Benney equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37** 5341-5354
- S Baldwin and J Gibbons 2006 Genus 4 trigonal reduction of the Benney equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** 3607-3639.