

### Question 1

The function  $f(x)$  has a zero of multiplicity  $m$  if

$$0 = f(x^*) = f'(x^*) = \dots = f^{(m-1)}(x^*) \neq f^{(m)}(x^*).$$

In the first case,  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = -\sin 0 = 0, f''(0) = -\cos 0 = -1 \neq 0$ , so  $m = 2$ .

2nd case:  $f(0) = e^0 - 1 = 0$ , etc.

### Question 2

Modified Newton gives (results here from Matlab, details will depend on whatever calculator you use)

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - mf(x_k)/f'(x_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

$m = 2$  in 1st case  $\dots x_3 = -1.8322 \dots \times 10^{-13}$

$m = 3$  in 2nd case  $\dots x_3 = -8.4468 \dots \times 10^{-13}$

### Question 3

Aitken formula:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = x_k - (\Delta x_k)^2 / \Delta^2 x_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

| $k$ | $x_k$    | $\Delta x_k$ | $\Delta^2 x_k$ | $\hat{x}_k$ |
|-----|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
| 0   | 0.5      | 0.377583     | -0.616154      | 0.731385    |
| 1   | 0.877583 | -0.238571    | 0.402244       | 0.736086    |
| 2   | 0.639012 | 0.163673     | -0.271580      | 0.737653    |
| 3   | 0.802685 | -0.107907    |                |             |
| 4   | 0.694778 |              |                |             |

etc.  $\hat{x}_k$  is changing very little compared to  $x_k$  so convergence appears to be faster.

### Question 4

In both cases the limit is  $x^* = 0$ .

(a)

| $k$ | $x_k$        | $\Delta x_k$ | $\Delta^2 x_k$ | $\hat{x}_k$  |
|-----|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| 1   | 1.           | -0.5000000   | 0.3333333      | 0.2499999    |
| 2   | 0.5000000    | -0.1666667   | 0.833334e-1    | 0.1666668    |
| 3   | 0.3333333    | -0.833333e-1 | 0.333333e-1    | 0.1249999    |
| 4   | 0.2500000    | -0.500000e-1 | 0.166667e-1    | 0.1000003    |
| 5   | 0.2000000    | -0.333333e-1 | 0.95237e-2     | 0.833322e-1  |
| 6   | 0.1666667    | -0.238096e-1 | 0.59525e-2     | 0.7142989e-1 |
| 7   | 0.1428571    | -0.178571e-1 | 0.39682e-2     | 0.6249926e-1 |
| 8   | 0.1250000    | -0.138889e-1 | 0.27778e-2     | 0.5555602e-1 |
| 9   | 0.1111111    | -0.111111e-1 | 0.20202e-2     | 0.5000007e-1 |
| 10  | 0.1000000    | -0.909091e-2 | 0.151513e-2    | 0.4545376e-1 |
| 11  | 0.9090909e-1 | -0.757576e-2 |                |              |
| 12  | 0.8333333e-1 |              |                |              |

(b)

| $k$ | $x_k$        | $\Delta x_k$  | $\Delta^2 x_k$ | $\hat{x}_k$ |
|-----|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1   | 1.           | -0.7500000    | 0.6111111      | 0.795454e-1 |
| 2   | 0.2500000    | -0.1388889    | 0.902778e-1    | 0.363248e-1 |
| 3   | 0.1111111    | -0.4861110e-1 |                |             |
| 4   | 0.6250000e-1 |               |                |             |

### Question 5

Newton for  $f(x) = e^{-x} + 3x = 0$  is

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (e^{x_k} + 3x_k)/(e^{x_k} + 3), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Secant is

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (e^{x_k} + 3x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})/(f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

| $k$ | <i>Newton</i><br>$x_k$ | <i>Secant</i><br>$x_k$ | <i>Newton</i><br>$ x_k - x_{k-1} / x_k $ | <i>Secant</i><br>$ x_k - x_{k-1} / x_k $ |
|-----|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 0   | 0.5                    | 0.5                    | —                                        | —                                        |
| 1   | -0.38011599            | 0.6                    | 2.3                                      | —                                        |
| 2   | -0.589609              | -0.369457              | 3.6e-1                                   | 1.6                                      |
| 3   | -0.618401              | -0.532739              | 4.7e-2                                   | 4.4e-1                                   |
| 4   | -0.6190609             | -0.606519              | 1.1e-3                                   | 1.4e-1                                   |
| 5   | -0.6190612             | -0.618271              | 5.7e-2                                   | 1.9e-2                                   |
| 6   |                        | -0.619053              |                                          | 1.3e-3                                   |
|     |                        | etc.                   |                                          | etc.                                     |

The secant method is slower in this case.

### Question 6

(a)

Newton using synthetic division gives

| $k$ | $x_k$      | $ x_k - x_{k-1} $ |
|-----|------------|-------------------|
| 0   | 2.7        | —                 |
| 1   | 2.69069557 | 9.3e-3            |
| 2   | 2.69064744 | 4.8e-5 (stop)     |

1st root  $\approx 2.69064744 = r_1$ .

Output of synthetic division gives

$$\frac{x^3 - 2x^2 - 5}{x - r_1} = x^2 + 0.69064744x + 1.85828879$$

We can't solve this quadratic by Newton's method because it has complex roots, but the quadratic roots formula gives  $-0.3453237 \pm i1.318727$ .

(b)

$x_0 = -2.8, x_2 = -2.87938524 \approx \text{root } 1 = r_1$ . Result of synthetic division at this root gives deflated polynomial  $x^2 + 0.120614758x - 0.3472963 = 0$ , with roots -0.6527036 and 0.5320888.

### Question 7

Find  $a, b, c$  from  $p(x_j) = f(x_j)$ ,  $j = k-2, k-1, k$ , i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{k-2}^2 & x_{k-2} & 1 \\ x_{k-1}^2 & x_{k-1} & 1 \\ x_k^2 & x_k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(x_{k-2}) \\ f(x_{k-1}) \\ f(x_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

This is possible by hand, but messy (easy in Matlab).

It is easier to look for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  in the equivalent polynomial  $p(x) = \alpha(x - x_k)^2 + \beta(x - x_k) + \gamma$ . So  $p(x_k) = f(x_k) \Rightarrow \gamma = f(x_k)$ ,  $p(x_{k-1}) = f(x_{k-1}) \Rightarrow \alpha(x_{k-1} - x_k)^2 + \beta(x_{k-1} - x_k) = f(x_{k-1}) - f(x_k)$ , etc.

Now if we have  $a, b, c$  in  $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ , we can find the roots of  $p(x) = 0$ , i.e.

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},$$

then choose the root closest to  $x_k$ , i.e. the one with  $|x_+ - x_k|, |x_- - x_k| = \text{minimum}$ . Sometimes this method may fail, for example if the roots turn out to be complex.