

Derivatives Pricing and Financial Modelling

Andrew Cairns: room M3.08

E-mail: A.Cairns@ma.hw.ac.uk

Tutorial 9: Solutions

1. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(t, t+s) &= \exp[A(t, t+s) - B(t, t+s)r(t)] \\
 \text{where } B(t, t+s) &= \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha s}}{\alpha} \\
 A(t, t+s) &= (B(t, t+s) - s) \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha} B(t, t+s)^2 \\
 f(t, t+s) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \log P(t, t+s) \\
 &= \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) (1 - e^{-\alpha s}) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha s}}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\alpha s} + e^{-\alpha s} r(t) \\
 &= \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) + (r(t) - \mu) e^{-\alpha s} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} e^{-\alpha s} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} e^{-2\alpha s} \\
 &= \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) + (r(t) - \mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}) e^{-\alpha s} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-\alpha s}) e^{-\alpha s}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the final form we see that the third term has the potential to produce a small hump in the forward-rate curve.

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\omega Y - \nu X &\sim N(0, \omega^2 + \nu^2 + 2\omega\nu\rho) \\
 \Rightarrow E_Q [e^{-\omega Y - \nu X}] &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 + \omega\nu\rho}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_Q [e^{-\omega Y - \nu X}] &= E_Q [e^{-\omega Y}] E_Q \left[e^{-\nu X} \frac{e^{-\omega Y}}{E_Q [e^{-\omega Y}]} \right] \\
 &= E_Q [e^{-\omega Y}] E_Q \left[e^{-\nu X} \frac{dP}{dQ} \right] \\
 &= E_Q [e^{-\omega Y}] E_P [e^{-\nu X}]
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Hence:

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 + \omega\nu\rho} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega^2} E_P [e^{-\nu X}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow E_P [e^{-\nu X}] &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu^2 + \omega\nu\rho} \\ \Rightarrow X &\sim N(-\omega\rho, 1) \quad \text{under } P\end{aligned}$$

(d) Now assume $\omega = 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}E_Q [e^{-Y} I(X < x)] &= E_Q [e^{-Y}] E_P [I(X < x)] \\ &\quad \text{where } X \sim N(-\rho, 1) \quad \text{under } P \\ \Rightarrow E_Q [e^{-Y} I(X < x)] &= e^{1/2} P r_P (X < x) \\ &= e^{1/2} \Phi(x + \rho)\end{aligned}$$

(e) Under Q , $Y|X \sim N(\rho X, (1 - \rho^2))$.

$$\begin{aligned}E_Q [e^{-Y} I(X < x)] &= E_Q [I(X < x) E_Q (e^{-Y} | X)] \\ &= E_Q [I(X < x) e^{-\rho X + \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho^2)}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\rho u + \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho^2) - \frac{1}{2}u^2} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u+\rho)^2 + \frac{1}{2}} du \\ &= e^{1/2} \Phi(x + \rho)\end{aligned}$$

3. (a) Bookwork!

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}X(T) &= \int_0^T r(u) du \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\mu + (r(0) - \mu)e^{-\alpha t} + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} d\tilde{W}_s \right) dt \\ &= \mu T + (r(0) - \mu) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha T})}{\alpha} + \sigma \int_0^T \int_s^T e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dt d\tilde{W}_s \quad \text{by B} \\ &= \mu T + (r(0) - \mu) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha T})}{\alpha} + \sigma \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-s)})}{\alpha} d\tilde{W}_s\end{aligned}$$

(c) From result A, $r(T)$ and $X(T)$ have a bivariate normal distribution with:

$$\begin{aligned}E_Q[r(T)] &= \mu + (r(0) - \mu)e^{-\alpha T} \\ E_Q[X(T)] &= \mu T + (r(0) - \mu) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha T})}{\alpha} \\ Var[r(T)] &= Var_Q \left[\sigma \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} d\tilde{W}_s \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sigma^2 \int_0^T e^{-2\alpha(T-s)} ds \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha T}}{2\alpha} \\
\text{Var}_Q[X(T)] &= \text{Var}_Q \left[\sigma \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-s)})}{\alpha} d\tilde{W}_s \right] \\
&= \sigma^2 \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-s)})^2}{\alpha^2} ds \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \left[T - \frac{2(1 - e^{-\alpha T})}{\alpha} + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha T})}{2\alpha} \right] \\
\text{Cov}_Q[r(T), X(T)] &= E_Q \left[\left(\sigma \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} d\tilde{W}_s \right) \left(\sigma \int_0^T \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-s)})}{\alpha} d\tilde{W}_s \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha} \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-s)} (1 - e^{-\alpha(T-s)}) ds \\
&= \sigma^2 \left[\frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha T})}{\alpha^2} - \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha T})}{2\alpha^2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(d) Since the model is Markov and time homogeneous the distribution of $X(T)$ given $r(t) = r$ is the same as that for $X(T - t)$ given $r(0) = r$.

Since $X(T)|r(t) = r$ is normally distributed under Q :

$$\begin{aligned}
P(t, T) &= \exp \left(-E_Q[X(T) - X(t) | r(t) = r] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}_Q[X(T) - X(t) | r(t) = r] \right) \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\mu(T - t) - (r(t) - \mu) \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})}{\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \left[T - t - \frac{2(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})}{\alpha} + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha(T-t)})}{2\alpha} \right] \right\} \\
&= \exp[A(t, T) - B(t, T)r(t)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } B(t, T) = \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and } A(t, T) &= (T - t) \left(-\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha} \right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha(T-t)}}{2\alpha} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (B(t, T) - (T - t)) \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha^3} \left(-1 + 2e^{-\alpha(T-t)} - e^{-2\alpha(T-t)} \right) \\
&= (B(t, T) - (T - t)) \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} \right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha} B(t, T)^2
\end{aligned}$$

(e) $(r(T), X(S))$ has a bivariate normal distribution under Q with:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_Q[r(T)|r(0) = r] &= \mu + (r - \mu)e^{-\alpha T} \\
&= \mu_1 \\
E_Q[X(S)|r(0) = r] &= \mu S + (r - \mu) \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha S}}{\alpha} \\
&= \mu_2(S) \\
Var_Q[r(T)|r(0) = r] &= \sigma^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha T}}{2\alpha} \\
&= \sigma_1^2 \\
Var_Q[X(S)|r(0) = r] &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \left[S - \frac{2(1 - e^{-\alpha S})}{\alpha} + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha S})}{2\alpha} \right] \\
&= \sigma_2^2(S) \\
Cov_Q[r(T), X(S) | r(0) = r] &= \int_0^T \sigma e^{-\alpha(T-u)} \times \sigma \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(S-u)})}{\alpha} du \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \left[\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha T}}{\alpha} - \frac{e^{-\alpha(S-T)} (1 - e^{-2\alpha T})}{2\alpha} \right] \\
&= \rho(S) \sigma_1 \sigma_2(S)
\end{aligned}$$

(f) Let $X_1 = r(T)$ and $X_2 = X(\tau)$. Let:

$$\frac{dP}{dQ} = \frac{e^{-\omega X_2}}{E_Q[e^{-\omega X_2}]}$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_Q[e^{-\omega X_2 - \nu X_1}] &= E_Q[e^{-\omega X_2}] E_P[e^{-\nu X_1}] \\
\Rightarrow E_P[e^{-\nu X_1}] &= \exp \left[-\nu(\mu_1 - \rho(\tau)\omega\sigma_1\sigma_2(\tau)) + \frac{1}{2}\nu\sigma_1^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, X_1 has a normal distribution under P with mean $\mu_1 - \rho(\tau)\omega\sigma_1\sigma_2(S)$ and variance σ_1^2 . (**)

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
C(0) &= E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} (P(T, S) - K)_+ \right] \\
&= E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} \left(E_Q \left[e^{-(X(S)-X(T))} \mid r(T) \right] - K \right) I(r(T) < r^*) \right] \\
\text{where } r^* &= \frac{A(T, S) - \log K}{B(T, S)} \\
\Rightarrow C(0) &= E_Q \left[e^{-X(S)} I(r(T) < r^*) \right] - K E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} I(r(T) < r^*) \right] \\
&= E_Q \left[e^{-X(S)} \right] E_{P_1} [I(r(T) < r^*)] - K E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} \right] E_{P_2} [I(r(T) < r^*)] \\
&= E_Q \left[e^{-X(S)} \right] Pr_{P_1}(r(T) < r^*) - K E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} \right] Pr_{P_2}(r(T) < r^*)
\end{aligned}$$

Under P_2 (e.g. take $\omega = 1$) $r(T)$ is normal with mean $r_2 = \mu_1 - \rho(T)\sigma_1\sigma_2(T)$ and variance σ_1^2 .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow Pr_{P_2}(r(T) < r^*) &= \Phi(d_2) \\
\text{where } d_2 &= \frac{r^* - r_2}{\sigma_1} \\
\text{Now } r^* &= \frac{A(T, S) - \log K}{B(T, S)} \\
\text{but } P(0, S) &= E_Q \left[e^{-X(T)} \right] E_{P_2} [P(T, S, r(T))] \\
&= P(0, T) E_{P_2} \left[e^{A(T, S) - B(T, S)r(T)} \right] \\
\Rightarrow \frac{P(0, S)}{P(0, T)} &= e^{A(T, S) - B(T, S)r_2 + \frac{1}{2}B(T, S)^2\sigma_1^2} \\
\Rightarrow \log \frac{P(0, S)}{KP(0, T)} &= A(T, S) - B(T, S)r_2 + \frac{1}{2}B(T, S)^2\sigma_1^2 - \log K \\
\Rightarrow r^* &= \frac{1}{B(T, S)} \log \frac{P(0, S)}{KP(0, T)} + r_2 - \frac{1}{2}B(T, S)\sigma_1^2 \\
\Rightarrow d_2 &= \frac{r^* - r_2}{\sigma_1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_1 B(T, S)} \log \frac{P(0, S)}{KP(0, T)} - \frac{1}{2}B(T, S)\sigma_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_P} \log \frac{P(0, S)}{KP(0, T)} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_P \\
\text{where } \sigma_P &= \sigma_1 B(T, S) \\
&= \sigma \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(S-T)})}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha T}}{2\alpha}}
\end{aligned}$$

Under P_1 (with $\omega = 1$) $r(T)$ is normal with mean $r_1 = \mu_1 - \rho(S)\sigma_1\sigma_2(S)$ and variance σ_1^2 . Thus:

$$Pr(r(T) < r^*) = \Phi(d_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{where } d_1 &= \frac{r^* - r_1}{\sigma_1} \\
\text{Now } d_1 - d_2 &= \frac{r_2 - r_1}{\sigma_1} \\
&= \frac{\rho(S)\sigma_1\sigma_2(S) - \rho(T)\sigma_1\sigma_2(T)}{\sigma_1} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\sigma_1} \left[(1 - e^{-\alpha T}) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\alpha(S-T)} (1 - e^{-2\alpha T}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\sigma_1} \left[(1 - e^{-\alpha T}) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2\alpha T}) \right] \\
&= \sigma \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(S-T)})}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha T}}{2\alpha}} \\
&= \sigma_P \\
\Rightarrow d_1 &= d_2 + \sigma_P \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_P} \log \frac{P(0, S)}{KP(0, T)} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_P
\end{aligned}$$

4. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
dr(t) &= \alpha(\mu - r(t))dt + \sigma\sqrt{r(t)}d\tilde{Z}_t \\
X(t) &= \log r(t)
\end{aligned}$$

By Ito

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \frac{1}{r}dr - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{r^2}(dr)^2 \\
&= \left[(\alpha\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)e^{-X} - \alpha \right] + \sigma e^{-\frac{1}{2}X}d\tilde{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha\mu > \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ then the drift term will become strongly positive if $X(t)$ gets too far below zero. Thus the process will be autoregressive and stationary. In particular it will not explode to minus infinity.

If $\alpha\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ then the drift term is negative for all values of $X(t)$. Therefore the process will tend to $-\infty$.

If $\alpha\mu < \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$ then the drift term will tend to $-\infty$ very fast. The result (without a rigorous analysis) is that $X(t)$ may tend to $-\infty$ in finite time. Hence $r(t)$ would hit zero in finite time.

(b) Let $X(t) = r(t) - \beta$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \alpha(\mu' - X(t))dt + \sigma\sqrt{X(t)}d\tilde{Z}(t) \\
\text{where } \mu' &= \mu - \beta \\
\text{Now } P(t, T) &= E_Q \left[e^{-\int_t^T (X(s)+\beta)ds} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-\beta(T-t)} E_Q \left[e^{-\int_t^T X(s)ds} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= \exp[-\beta(T-t) + A'(t, T) - B'(t, T)(r(t) - \beta)] \\
\text{where } A'(t, T) &= A(t, T)(\mu', \sigma, \alpha) \\
B'(t, T) &= B(t, T)(\mu', \sigma, \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

and $A(t, T)$ and $B(t, T)$ are the standard functions employed in the CIR pricing formula.

- (c) No additional constraints are required as the volatility of $r(t)$ tends to zero much more quickly under this model than the CIR model. This allows the positive drift $\alpha(\mu - r(t))$ a better chance of getting $r(t)$ away from zero.

Alternatively consider $X(t) = \log r(t)$. Then

$$dX(t) = \left[\alpha \mu e^{-X} - \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right] + \sigma d\tilde{Z}$$

Provided $\alpha > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ the drift always becomes positive as $X \rightarrow -\infty$.

5. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
P(0, 1) &= 0.905156 \\
P(0, 11) &= 0.361580
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(Y < y) &= \chi^2(1, \lambda; y) \\
&= Pr(-\sqrt{y} < Z + \sqrt{\lambda} < \sqrt{y}) \\
&= Pr(-\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\lambda} < Z < \sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\lambda}) \\
&= \Phi(\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\lambda}) - \Phi(-\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\lambda})
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
C(0) &= P(0, 11, r(0))\chi^2(d, \lambda_2; y_2) - KP(0, 1, r(0))\chi^2(1, \lambda_1; y_1) \\
\text{where } K &= 0.449329 \\
d &= \frac{4\alpha\mu}{\sigma^2} \\
&= 1 \\
\gamma &= \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\sigma^2} \\
&= 0.0718070 \\
\lambda_1 &= \frac{8\gamma^2 e^{\gamma T} r}{\sigma^2 (e^{\gamma T} - 1) (2\gamma + (\gamma + \alpha) (e^{\gamma T} - 1))} \\
&= 158.8701
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_2 &= \frac{8\gamma^2 e^{\gamma T} r}{\sigma^2 (e^{\gamma T} - 1) (2\gamma + (\gamma + \alpha + \sigma^2 \bar{B}(U - T)) (e^{\gamma T} - 1))} \\
&= 157.1048 \\
r^* &= (\bar{A}(U - T) - \log K) / \bar{B}(U - T) \\
&= 0.0851575 \\
k_1 &= \frac{\sigma^2 (e^{\gamma T} - 1)}{2 (2\gamma + (\gamma + \alpha) (e^{\gamma T} - 1))} \\
&= 0.000620853 \\
k_2 &= \frac{\sigma^2 (e^{\gamma T} - 1)}{2 (2\gamma + (\gamma + \alpha + \sigma^2 \bar{B}(U - T)) (e^{\gamma T} - 1))} \\
&= 0.000613955 \\
y_1 &= r^* / k_1 \\
&= 137.1622 \\
y_2 &= r^* / k_2 \\
&= 138.7033
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hence } \chi^2(1, \lambda_i; y_i) &= \Phi(\sqrt{y_i} - \sqrt{\lambda_i}) - \Phi(-\sqrt{y_i} - \sqrt{\lambda_i}) \\
&= \begin{cases} \Phi(-0.8927) - \Phi(-24.3160) = 0.186009 & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \Phi(-0.7569) - \Phi(-24.3114) = 0.224555 & \text{for } i = 2 \end{cases} \\
\Rightarrow C(0) &= 0.005546
\end{aligned}$$

(The final value plus all intermediate values have been taken from computer calculations. Manual calculations using rounded, intermediate values may introduce rounding errors in the final value of $C(0)$ (e.g. 0.00552) This is quite okay!)

6.

$$\begin{aligned}
dX(t) &= \alpha(\mu - X(t))dt + \sigma dW_t \\
r(t) &= e^{X(t)} \\
\Rightarrow dr &= e^X dX + \frac{1}{2} e^X (dX)^2 \\
&= r(t) (\alpha(\mu - \log r(t)) + \sigma dW_t) + \frac{1}{2} r(t) \sigma^2 dt \\
&= (\alpha' r(t) - \gamma r(t) \log r(t)) dt + \sigma r(t) dW_t
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha' = \alpha\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$
 $\gamma = \alpha$

This is in the form of the Black-Karasinski model.

Thus we know that $r(t)$ if it comes from the BK model then $\log r(t)$ follows an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

7. There are two methods to solve this problem.

Method A

Assume that $dF(t) = F(t)(\sigma_F(t)dW(t) + \mu_F(t)dt)$ where $\sigma_F(t)$ and $\mu_F(t)$ are previsible processes and $W(t)$ is a Brownian motion under the real-world measure P .

Step 1:

Establish the measure \tilde{Q} equivalent to P under which $F(t)$ is a martingale. Thus, let $\gamma(t) = \mu_F(t)/\sigma_F(t)$ and take:

$$\frac{d\tilde{Q}}{dP} = e^{-\int_0^T \gamma(u)dW(u) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \gamma(u)^2 du}$$

Then $dF(t) = F(t)\sigma_F(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$ where $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \gamma(u)du$ is a B.M. under \tilde{Q} .

Step 2:

Define $D(t) = E_{\tilde{Q}}[B(T)^{-1}P(T, S) | \mathcal{F}_t]$. This a martingale under \tilde{Q} .

Step 3:

By the martingale representation theorem there exists a previsible process $\phi(t)$ such that $D(t) = D(0) + \int_0^t \phi(u)dF(u)$.

Step 4:

Implement the portfolio process which holds at time t , $B(t)\phi(t)$ futures contracts and $D(t)$ units of the risk-free bond $B(t)$. The value at t of this portfolio is $V(t) = B(t)D(t)$ since the futures contracts have zero value. Then the instantaneous change in the portfolio value is:

$$\begin{aligned} dV(t) &= B(t)dD(t) + D(t)dB(t) + dD(t).dB(t) \\ &= B(t)\phi(t)dF(t) + D(t)dB(t) + 0.dt \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the instantaneous investment gain over the same period. This the portfolio process is self-financing.

Step 5:

Furthermore $V(T) = P(T, S)$ so the portfolio process is replicating. Hence $V(t) = B(t)E_{\tilde{Q}}[B(T)^{-1}P(T, S) | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is the unique no-arbitrage price for the bond at time t : that is, $P(t, T) = B(t)E_{\tilde{Q}}[B(T)^{-1}P(T, S) | \mathcal{F}_t]$.

But we know that $P(t, T) = B(t)E_Q[B(T)^{-1}P(T, S) | \mathcal{F}_t]$. So Q and \tilde{Q} are the same and $F(t)$ is a martingale under Q . It follows that $F(t) = E_Q[F(T) | \mathcal{F}_t] = E_Q[P(T, S) | \mathcal{F}_t]$ as required.

Method B:

Let $\pi(t)$ be the accumulation in cash of the net cashflows arising from the futures contract. Thus:

$$d\pi(t) = r(t)\pi(t)dt + dF(t) \quad (1)$$

We also know that futures prices evolve in a way which ensures that the value at any time t for the futures contract is zero: that is, the total expected discounted cashflows are zero or

$$E_Q \left[\int_t^T \frac{B(t)}{B(s)} dF(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = 0 \quad (2)$$

Now define $\tilde{\pi}(t) = \pi(t)/B(t)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} d\pi(t) &= B(t)d\tilde{\pi}(t) + \tilde{\pi}(t)dB(t) \\ &= B(t)d\tilde{\pi}(t) + r(t)B(t)\tilde{\pi}(t)dt \\ &= B(t)d\tilde{\pi}(t) + r(t)\pi(t)dt \\ \Rightarrow d\tilde{\pi}(t) &= \frac{d\pi(t) - r(t)\pi(t)dt}{B(t)} \\ &= \frac{dF(t)}{B(t)} \text{ by equation (1).} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(t) = \tilde{\pi}(0) + \int_0^t \frac{dF(u)}{B(u)} = \int_0^t \frac{dF(u)}{B(u)}$$

$$\text{and } \pi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{B(t)}{B(u)} dF(u).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{However, } E_Q[\tilde{\pi}(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] &= \int_0^t \frac{dF(u)}{B(u)} + B(t)^{-1} E_Q \left[\int_t^T \frac{B(t)}{B(s)} dF(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{dF(u)}{B(u)} + B(t)^{-1} \cdot 0 \text{ by equation (2)} \\ &= \tilde{\pi}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\pi}(t)$ is a Q -martingale. Since $\tilde{W}(t)$ is also a Q -martingale there exists, by the martingale representation theorem, a previsible process $\phi(t)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\pi}(t) &= \phi(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dF(t)}{B(t)} &= \phi(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \\ \Rightarrow dF(t) &= \phi(t)B(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F(t)$ is a Q -martingale (subject to verification of the usual technical requirements on $\phi(t)B(t)$). It follows that $F(t) = E_Q[F(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = E_Q[P(T, S) \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$.