

Derivatives Pricing and Financial Modelling

Andrew Cairns: room M3.08

E-mail: A.Cairns@ma.hw.ac.uk

Tutorial 10: Solutions

1. (a)

$$X(T) = \int_0^T \tilde{W}_t dt = \int_0^T \int_0^t d\tilde{W}_s dt = \int_0^T \int_s^T dt d\tilde{W}_s = \int_0^T (T-s) d\tilde{W}_s$$

Hence $X(T)$ is Normal (under Q) with mean 0 and variance $\int_0^T (T-s)^2 ds = \frac{1}{3}T^3$.

(b) Hence $\exp(-X(T))$ is log-normal with

$$E_Q [e^{-X(T)}] = e^{\frac{1}{6}T^3}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } u > t \quad r(u) &= r(t) + \int_t^u \theta(s) ds + \sigma(\tilde{W}_u - \tilde{W}_t) \\ \Rightarrow \int_t^T r(u) du &= r(t)(T-t) + \int_t^T \int_t^u \theta(s) ds du + \sigma \int_t^T \int_t^u d\tilde{W}_s du \\ &= r(t)(T-t) + \int_t^T \int_s^T du \theta(s) ds + \sigma \int_t^T \int_s^T du d\tilde{W}_s \\ &= r(t)(T-t) + \int_t^T (T-s)\theta(s) ds + \int_t^T \sigma(T-s) d\tilde{W}_s \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int_t^T r(u) du | \mathcal{F}_t$ is Normal under Q with mean $r(t)(T-t) + \int_t^T (T-s)\theta(s) ds$ and variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{3}(T-t)^3$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, T) &= E_Q \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(u) du} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-r(t)(T-t) - \int_t^T (T-s)\theta(s) ds + \frac{\sigma^2}{6}(T-t)^3 \right] \end{aligned}$$

(d) In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} P(0, T) &= \exp \left[-r(0)T - \int_0^T (T-s)\theta(s) ds + \frac{\sigma^2}{6}T^3 \right] \\ \Rightarrow f(0, T) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \log P(0, T) \\ &= r(0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \int_0^T \int_0^u \theta(s) ds du - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T^2 \\ &= r(0) + \int_0^T \theta(s) ds - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T^2 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate again with respect to T :

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f(0, T) &= \theta(T) - \sigma^2 T \\ \Rightarrow \theta(T) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f(0, T) + \sigma^2 T\end{aligned}$$

2. (a) We have $\sigma^2 \equiv \sigma^2(\alpha) = 0.0008\alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(0, t) + f(0, t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (-0.002e^{-0.2t}) + 0.06 + 0.01e^{-0.2t} + 0.0004 \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha t})}{\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

Numerical experiments can be found on the Excel spreadsheet which is available at <http://www.ma.hw.ac.uk/~andrewc/msc/>.

- (b)

$$\mu(0) = \mu(\infty) \Rightarrow 0.07 - \frac{0.002}{\alpha} = 0.06 + \frac{0.004}{\alpha} \Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = 0.24$$

3. (a) The price of the call option is:

$$\begin{aligned}100 [P(0, 10)\Phi(h) - XP(0, 0.25)\Phi(h - \sigma_p)] \\ \text{where } P(0, t) &= \exp \left[- \int_0^t f(0, s) ds \right] \\ &= \exp \left[-0.06t - 0.01 \frac{(1 - e^{-0.2t})}{0.2} \right] \\ \Rightarrow P(0, 10) &= 0.52559 \\ P(0, 0.25) &= 0.98271 \\ X &= 0.53500 \\ \sigma_p &= \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha(10-0.25)}) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\alpha \times 0.25}}{2\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{0.02}{0.24} (1 - e^{-2.34}) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-0.12}}{0.48} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= 0.03655 \\ h &= \frac{1}{\sigma_p} \log \frac{P(0, 10)}{XP(0, 0.25)} + \frac{\sigma_p}{2} \\ &= 0.01 \\ \Phi(h) &= 0.5040 \\ \Phi(h - \sigma_p) &= 0.4894 \\ \Rightarrow \text{price} &= 0.7595 \text{ or } \pounds 0.76\end{aligned}$$

- (b) The minimum information required is $P(0, 10)$, $P(0, 0.25)$, X , σ , α , $T = 0.25$ (exercise date), $U = 10$ (maturity date of underlying).
4. (a) The model is arbitrage free if there exists some previsible process $\gamma(t)$ such that

$$\alpha(t, T) = \sigma(t, T)(\gamma(t) - S(t, T))$$

$$\text{where } S(t, T) = - \int_t^T \sigma(t, u)$$

- (b) “Weak” definition:

If $\alpha(t, T)$ and $\sigma(t, T)$ are functions of t, T and the whole of $f(t, s)$ for all $s > t$ (and not on any aspect of the history \mathcal{F}_t given $f(t, s)$) then the process is Markov. However, it is necessary for us to know the whole of the forward-rate curve at time t rather than a finite number of observations.

“Strong” definition:

The same as the weak definition but in addition it must be possible to reconstruct the whole of the forward-rate curve at time t given

- i. the whole of the forward-rate curve at time 0 (call this \mathcal{F}_0)
- ii. a *finite* number of observations at time t (call this $X(t)$ where $X(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_m(t))$ with $m < \infty$).

Equivalently, if $X(t)$ is some finite dimensional Ito process with $r(t) = g(X(t))$ for some function g , then the term-structure model is (strong-definition) Markov.

- (c) i. Ho and Lee:

$$r(t) = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s - \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) S(s, t) ds$$

$$= f(0, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t^2 + \sigma \tilde{W}_t$$

\Rightarrow Markov

- ii. Or more generally $\sigma(t, T) = \sigma(t)$ for all t, T where $\sigma(t)$ is deterministic:

$$S(s, t) = - \int_s^t \sigma(s, u) du = -(t - s)\sigma(s)$$

$$\Rightarrow - \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) S(s, t) ds = \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 (t - s) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_s^t \sigma(s)^2 du ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_0^u \sigma(s)^2 ds du$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(- \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) S(s, t) ds \right) = \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds$$

$$\text{Also } \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s = \int_0^t \sigma(s) d\tilde{W}_s$$

$$\Rightarrow d \left[\int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s \right] = \sigma(t) d\tilde{W}_t.$$

$$\text{Hence } dr(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(0, t) dt + \left(\int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds \right) dt + \sigma(t) d\tilde{W}_t$$

\Rightarrow Markov

iii. The same argument as in (ii) applies

\Rightarrow Markov

iv. We concentrate on

$$\int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s = \int_0^t \frac{\sigma}{t-s+\delta} d\tilde{W}_s$$

We use the following Lemma:

$$d \left[\int_0^t f(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s \right] = f(s, t) d\tilde{W}_t + \left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s \right) dt$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} d \left[\int_0^t \frac{\sigma}{t-s+\delta} d\tilde{W}_s \right] &= \frac{\sigma}{t-s+\delta} d\tilde{W}_t + \left(\int_0^t \frac{-\sigma}{(t-s-\delta)^2} d\tilde{W}_s \right) dt \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{t-s+\delta} d\tilde{W}_t + X(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } X(t) = \int_0^t \frac{-\sigma}{(t-s-\delta)^2} d\tilde{W}_s$$

is another stochastic integral. Thus we can say that $r(t)$ is Markov given $X(t)$. But $X(t)$ is only Markov given another stochastic integral *etc.*

So we cannot say that $r(t)$ is unconditionally Markov.

v. Hull and White:

$$\text{Let } X(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s = \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} d\tilde{W}_s.$$

The we know that $dX(t) - \alpha X(t) dt + \sigma d\tilde{W}_t$ (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck). So $X(t)$ is Markov.

Hence $r(t)$ is Markov.

vi. $r(t) = f(0, t) + \int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s - \sigma(s, t) S(s, t) ds.$

If $\sigma(s, t)$ is deterministic then $r(t)$ is Markov if and only if $\int_0^t \sigma(s, t) d\tilde{W}_s = X(t)$ is Markov.

Here

$$X(t) = X_1(t) + X_2(t)$$

$$\text{where } X_i(t) = \sigma_i \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_i(t-s)} d\tilde{W}_s$$

$$\text{But } dX_i(t) = -\alpha_i X_i(t) dt + \sigma_i d\tilde{W}_t$$

So $X_i(t)$ is Markov for $i = 1, 2$. Hence $X(t)$ is Markov in the sense that if we know $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$ it is Markov.

The values for $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$ can be inferred from, for example, two points on the forward-rate curve: for example, $r(t) = f(t, t)$ and $f(t, t+1)$; or $r(t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial T}f(t, T)|_{T=t}$.

[Note: since $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$ both depend upon \tilde{W}_t they are not independent but nor are they perfectly correlated given \mathcal{F}_0 .]

5. $\sigma(t, T) = \sigma e^{-\alpha(T-t)}$ implies Hull and White. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} dr(t) &= \alpha(\mu(t) - r(t))dt + \sigma d\tilde{Z}(t) \\ \text{where } \mu(t) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(0, t) + f(0, t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(-\alpha\lambda_1 e^{-\alpha t} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-\alpha t})\alpha e^{-\alpha t} \right) + \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 e^{-\alpha t} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-\alpha t})^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t}) \\ &= \lambda_0 \end{aligned}$$

From Section 8.1 of the lecture notes:

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= e^{-\alpha t} r(0) + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \mu(s) ds + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} d\tilde{Z}(s) \\ &= e^{-\alpha t} r(0) + (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) \lambda_0 + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} d\tilde{Z}(s) \\ &= g(t, r(0)) + \int_0^t h(s, t) d\tilde{Z}(s) \\ \text{where } g(t, r) &= \lambda_0 + (r - \lambda_0) e^{-\alpha t} \\ h(s, t) &= \sigma e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \end{aligned}$$

This is called the Vasicek model!

6. (a) Arbitrage-free $\Rightarrow \alpha(t, T) = \sigma(t, T)(\gamma(t) - S(t, T))$ where $\gamma(t)$ is the market price of risk.

We know that:

$$f(t, T) = f(0, T) - \int_0^t \sigma(u, T) S(u, T) du + \int_0^t \sigma(u, T) \gamma(u) du + \int_0^t \sigma(u, T) dZ(u)$$

If $\gamma(t)$ is deterministic then $f(t, T)$ would be Gaussian. However, it is only necessary that $\gamma(t)$ is a previsible process. If $\gamma(t)$ is stochastic then $f(t, T)$ might not be Gaussian since the term $\int_0^t \sigma(u, T) \gamma(u) du$ may not be Gaussian.

(b) We exploit the result that if $X(t) = a(t) + \int_0^t b(s) dZ(s)$ where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are deterministic functions, then $X(t)$ is Normal with mean $a(t)$ and variance $\int_0^t b(s)^2 ds$.

If $\gamma(t)$ is deterministic then $f(t, T)$ is Normal with mean

$$f(0, T) - \int_0^t \sigma(u, T)S(u, T)du + \int_0^t \sigma(u, T)\gamma(u)du$$

and variance

$$\int_0^t \sigma(u, T)^2 du$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, T) &= \exp \left[- \int_t^T f(t, u)du \right] \\ \int_t^T f(t, u)du &= \int_t^T f(0, s)ds - \int_t^T \int_0^t \sigma(u, s)S(u, s)du ds + \int_t^T \int_0^t \sigma(u, s)d\tilde{Z}(u) ds \\ &= \int_t^T f(0, s)ds - \int_t^T \int_0^t \sigma(u, s)S(u, s)du ds + \int_0^t \int_t^T \sigma(u, s)ds d\tilde{Z}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $-\int_t^T f(t, s)ds$ is Normal under Q with mean

$$\int_t^T f(0, s)ds - \int_t^T \int_0^t \sigma(u, s)S(u, s)du ds$$

and variance

$$\int_0^t \left(\int_t^T \sigma(u, s)ds \right)^2 du$$

Hence $P(t, T)$ will be log-normal with the same parameters.

7. (a) Let $V(t)$ be the price at t for g payable continuously until time T plus 100 payable at T .

Consider this as the price for coupons at the rate of g between t and $t + dt$ plus the price $\tilde{V}(t)$ for the payments after time $t + dt$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= g \cdot dt + g \int_{t+dt}^T P(t, u)du + 100P(t, T) + o(dt) \\ &= g \cdot dt + \tilde{V}(t) + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{V}(t) = g \int_{t+dt}^T P(t, u)du + 100P(t, T).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d\tilde{V}(t) &= g \int_{t+dt}^T dP(t, u)du + 100dP(t, T) \\ &= g \int_{t+dt}^T P(t, u)(r(t)dt + S(t, u)d\tilde{Z}(t)) + 100P(t, T)(r(t)dt + S(t, T)d\tilde{Z}(t)) \\ &= \tilde{V}(t)r(t)dt + \left(g \int_{t+dt}^T P(t, u)S(t, u)du + 100P(t, T)S(t, T) \right) d\tilde{Z}(t) \\ &= V(t)r(t)dt + \left(g \int_t^T P(t, u)S(t, u)du + 100P(t, T)S(t, T) \right) d\tilde{Z}(t) + o(dt) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$b_v(t, r(t)) = \left(g \int_t^T P(t, u) S(t, u) du + 100P(t, T)S(t, T) \right)$$

and $a_v(t, r(t)) = V(t)r(t)dt - g.dt.$

(b) i.

$$\begin{aligned} V(0) &= 10 \int_0^T e^{-0.1u} du + 100e^{-0.1T} \\ &= 100 \end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t, u) &= \sigma e^{-0.1(u-t)} \\ \Rightarrow S(0, u) &= - \int_0^u \sigma(0, v) dv \\ &= -\sigma \int_0^u e^{-0.1v} dv \\ &= -10\sigma(1 - e^{-0.1u}) \\ \Rightarrow dV(0) &= -100\sigma \int_0^T e^{-0.1u}(1 - e^{-0.1u}) du + 1000\sigma e^{-0.1T}(1 - e^{-0.1T}) \\ &= -500\sigma(1 - e^{-0.2T}) \\ \Rightarrow -\frac{dV(0)}{V(0)} &= -5\sigma(1 - e^{-0.2T}) \end{aligned}$$

iii. $-dV(0)/V(0)$ increases from 0 to 5σ as T goes from 0 to ∞ , so the maximum occurs when $T = \infty$: that is we get the maximum volatility with an irredeemable coupon bond.

iv. Zero-coupon bonds have a volatility of $10\sigma(1 - e^{-0.1T})$. For example with $T = 16.094$ this is 8σ which is higher than that for irredeemable coupon bond.

It follows that a bond with a term of about 16 years to maturity and with a sufficiently low coupon rate will have a volatility of between 5σ and 8σ .

Not used in 2004

8. (a)

$$V(t) = E_Q \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s)ds} \max(r(T) - r_c, 0) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

(b) i. From the notes we use the change of measure drift $\gamma(t) = -S(t, T)$ but

$$\begin{aligned} S(t, T) &= -\int_t^T \sigma(t, u) du \\ \text{and } \sigma(t, u) &= \sigma e^{-\alpha(u-t)} \text{ under Vasicek} \\ \Rightarrow S(t, T) &= -\sigma \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})}{\alpha} \\ \gamma(t) &= \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}) \end{aligned}$$

ii. We have $d\hat{W}_t = d\tilde{W}_t + \gamma(t)dt$ and

$$\begin{aligned} dr(t) &= \alpha(\mu - r(t))dt + \sigma d\tilde{W}_t \\ &= \alpha(\mu - r(t))dt + \sigma(d\hat{W}_t - \gamma(t)dt) \\ &= \alpha(\mu(t) - r(t))dt + \sigma d\hat{W}_t \\ \text{where } \mu(t) &= \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mu(t)$ is a deterministic function.

Suppose that $X(s) = \sigma \int_t^s e^{-\alpha(s-u)} d\hat{W}_u$ for $s > t$.

$\Rightarrow dX(s) = -\alpha X(s)ds + \sigma d\hat{W}_s$.

Suppose also that $r(s) = e(s) + X(s)$ for some deterministic function $e(s)$.

Then $dr(s) = de(s) + dX(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \alpha(\mu(s) - r(s))ds + \sigma d\hat{W}_s &= \frac{de}{ds}ds + (-\alpha X(s)ds + \sigma d\hat{W}_s) \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(\mu(s) - e(s) - X(s))ds &= e'(s)ds - \alpha X(s)ds \\ \Rightarrow e'(s) + \alpha e(s) &= \mu(s) \\ \Rightarrow e(s) &= e^{-\alpha(s-t)}r(t) + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \right) (1 - e^{-\alpha(s-t)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2} e^{-\alpha(T-s)} (1 - e^{-2\alpha(s-t)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now $X(s)$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process under P_T so it is normally distributed.

$\Rightarrow r(s)|\mathcal{F}_t$ is normal with:

$$E_{P_T} [r(s)|\mathcal{F}_t] = e(s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}_{P_T} [r(s)|\mathcal{F}_t] &= \text{Var}_{P_T} [X(s)|\mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= \int_t^s \sigma^2 e^{-2\alpha(s-u)} du \\
&= \sigma^2 \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha(s-t)})}{2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

iii. Suppose that $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then

$$E[(X - x_0)_+] = \sigma E \left[\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} - \frac{x_0 - \mu}{\sigma} \right)_+ \right] = \sigma E[(Z - z_0)_+]$$

where $z_0 = (x_0 - \mu)/\sigma$ and $Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(X - x_0)_+] &= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{z_0}^{\infty} (z - z_0) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_0 - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] - \sigma \frac{x_0 - \mu}{\sigma} \left(1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x_0 - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \right) \\
\Rightarrow E_{P_T} [(r(T) - r_c)_+ | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \frac{\sigma_r}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z_0^2} - \sigma_r z_0 (1 - \Phi(z_0)) \\
z_0 &= \frac{r_c - \mu_r}{\sigma_r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mu_r = e^{-\alpha(T-t)} r(t) + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \right) (1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}) + \frac{\sigma_r^2}{\alpha}$$

iv.

$$\begin{aligned}
V(t) &= P(t, T) E_{P_T} [(r(T) - r_c)_+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= P(t, T) \left[\frac{\sigma_r}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z_0^2} - \sigma_r z_0 (1 - \Phi(z_0)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $P(t, T) = e^{A(t, T) - B(t, T)r(t)}$ in the usual way